## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

## **VILLACH**

The jury appreciates different aspects in each of the preselected proposals, but also sees critical points in them. Both runner-up projects have strong ideas on the topic of the productive city, but also lack focus to articulate these ideas in the proposal.

The decision to award two second prices was made to start a dialogue between two very different proposals - each of them bringing interesting topics to the table:

One project deals with a basic urbanistic question - how to weave zones of a city where different typologies border each other, while the other project works on the formulation of this border question to try to establish the interaction of productive uses with housing units.

It is highly recommended to the city of Villach and to the owner of the sites to carry out a series of further workshops with the two runner-up teams. In order to guarantee the reinforcement of the quality present in these two projects, two members of the international EUROPAN15 jury can also participate in the workshop process.

## RUNNER UP STADTHÖFE / URBAN YARDS MF992

- The jury recommends that the appreciated site-specific and pragmatic approach is upgraded with a more innovative, speculative and imaginative attitude, also in its architectural expression, that could recognize the possibilities this site offers.
- The treatment of site A and site B has to be differentiated and reworked, so they can establish their own logic and identity, rather than one being a 'smaller version' of the other. Particularly as site A will function as a stepping-stone from the inner-city area to the new quarter.
- The jury appreciates the anchoring of the project's main idea in the historic setting of the urban composition of permeable yards. However, this value is not clearly tangible in the design. Therefore, the jury recommends refining the proposal in a workshop process by getting into a dialogue with the second runner-up project: Thresholds (Myth).

## RUNNER UP THRESHOLDS (MYTH) PV473

- The jury highly values the initial stance of the project, with its analytic approach and mixing the urban and the suburban but misses its definition in the project.
- The mix of inner-urban and suburban typologies, which is the main idea of the project, is not elaborated well enough. The 'third space' which is meant to emerge out of this mix, is not reflected upon and does not offer any new spatial quality.

•	Therefore, the jury recommends reworking the proposals in a workshop process by getting into a dialogue with the second runner-up project: Stadthöfe / Urban Yards.